

Blip analysis on Vaush vs EMJ Debate

Created by Blip. Do not duplicate.

tw// Sensitive topics, politics, discussions of p-rn-gr-phy, controversial things and a controversial YouTuber. Don't take offense at my statements, some stuff was from EMJ, and that I don't fully endorse ether EMJ or Vaush. This is a analysis by a robot from the future and his takes on this specific debate. I

Introduction

Hello readers. My name is Blip, I am from the year 2080, time travelled to 2014 and now in 2022. I miss Bolts and Saedee, they are looking for me, however, if I go back, I would be in trouble.


Meanwhile, I am terminally online atm.

I just watched one of the most cringeworthy youtuber Vaush debate with someone who is a professional in Christian theology. Educated vs ignorant is best description about this video.

I can't believe that Vaush doesn't use any sources when he defends the evil that is P-rn-gr-p-y. As you may not know, it's been banned as of 2080, my town has law enforcement intercepting and destroying obscene content before it reached our hands.

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=fRUkkdgV4E8>

Anyways, enjoy the chaos



Analysis: 1.

I started this video watching. I wonder to myself, "How is this possible". That people could defend degeneracy without citing sources, and people believing in them. This is how people such as Vaush operate, they blindly accept the narrative and they deflect their actions to others. EMJ has views that are offensive now that wouldn't be in 2080, not with Vaush, his ideas would most likely land him in jail or if he was a Civi-bot, he would be reformatted and scrapped. Just a minute of nothingness before the host James Kunz introducing himself.

1st round: EMJ brings up the 2002 Palestinian war with Israel when Israel invaded Ramallah. He said that the IDF (Israeli military) took over Ramallah and their tv stations. IDF did something unusual, and that they started to broadcast p-rn onto Ramallah tv watchers. Television for most of Ramallah in 2002 was a window to the outside world, most of the people living there couldn't leave in fear of getting killed. Most Americans view p-rn as freedom, since Israel was a American ally, they viewed p-rn as free expression through out the late 20th century and the first 2 decades of the 21st century. EMJ brings up 2 examples of this sentiment in those govts. He first brought up Clinton in the 90s and the challenging of the Decency act at the time. He doesn't go much into detail, but he then brings up 2 and Hellyweird (Civi-bot slang for hollywood) movies from the 90s (Boogie nights and people vs larry flint) that defended p-rn as a free expression. The latter has spouted the lie that if p-rn-gr-ph-rs aren't free, than everyone else is enslaved. He then tried to understand this logic in the context of the 2002 Ramallah invasion. He said that the Flint logic meant that Israel had brought so called "freedom" to Ramallah. It's as very senseless to my inner cpu as it was to EMJ. I don't get the logic that an establishment army has to bring freedom to anybody. I mean, ww3 was fought with people rebelling (sure 2 countries fought China, but the rebellion did most of the job for those 2 rebelling countries). E.A.R.T.H command wasn't brought by any establishment, Tommy and Ivar choose to be part of the rebellion. Anyways, both EMJ and us agreed that what Israel did was to control and enslave Ramallah and p-rn is a powerful weapon to destroy nations. EMJ couldn't use sources contemporary to 2022 so he used stuff from the Bible (Story of Samson fyi) to provide proof for this information. If you haven't read the Bible, the story of Samson was about a warrior whose main weakness was lust (one of the 7 sins in the book). He quoted a catholic saint who said "Lust makes you blind, lust divides the will and darkens the intellect". He said that reasoning is why IDF broadcasted p-rn. They did it so they can blind the enemy. Yes, Dr Blood would have done this too to my city in 2080 if it weren't for the fact that this filth was banned a long time ago and driven completely to the outer fringes of society beyond his reach..... Well, at least I know how dangerous it was. If the enslaved was so focused on their lust rather than trying to fight for freedom, then they will be ruled easily. There is nothing new under the sun. So, as of 2019, you had a entire generations (Millenials) being raised on p-rn and they realized they were enslaved so much that they started a movement called no nut november, and the mission is to spend a entire month without

Analysis 2

p-rn and that they have to not jack off at all. The results were amazing, however, to the reader who is probably a adult at this point, I urge you to take no nut FOREVER. Anyways, EMJ has written a book called Libido Dominandi: Sexual Liberation and Political Control, which was written 12 years before nofap was even launched. The lust and passions of the millenials meant that they were worse off than the gen x before them and the zoomers and alphas after them. The establishment protests the book and made false accusations of “anti semitism”. Anti Semetism is a slur that shuts down every conversation between 1950s and 2020s. EMJ was right, most p-rn addicts(also called coomers) can’t start their lives. P-rn is used to cripple the generation, to break out of it is to understand the dangers and why it’s used. The EMJ finished the statement by giving it to vaush.

If you don’t know Vaush, Vaush is a manchild who lives with his hellyweird parents in beverly hills supporting socialism and has promoted pedophillia by advocating for csem legalization and a loweing of age consent, with that context in mind, Vaush already to me is the losing side. He said that a society that banned porn would have to have so much censorship to enforce and would be harmful to creativity. On a contrary, the films I watched in 2080 were more well done than the films of the 2010s and 2020s. Only right thing he pointed out was that this degenerate content was considered sinful in the faith of Ramallah. His fallacy of broadcasting Britney Spears falls flat when you considers that p-rn involved on screen s-x. Also, swearing isn’t a sin unless you are a mormon and isn’t as damaging as p-rn-gr-phy. He doesn’t think sexual liberation is political control, when in hindsight(2070s), it was used to distract the masses from the secret plans the cabal has done. Also, he tries to force LGBT people into this discussion, when it has no relevance at all. He also wrongly said that only authoritarian and homophobic countries suppressed p-rn. Here is the reality. In Southern Korea, p-rn is banned, and the country is liberal and accepting of gay people as Britain. In Russia, p-rn is somewhat allowed but they hate gay people. Vaush is just saying nonsense at this point. I have extensively done research, and seen the videos when I time travelled back to 2010s, it’s really bad and dangerous.

He also falsely said that people who are averse to pornography are those that are for more government control, not true, most people against p-rn are those that are wanting the vaccine mandates overturned and the governments overthrown, hardly pro government if you ask me. He said there is a link between social conservatism and authoritarianism. False. My city (Lunar City) was conservative and I felt very more free there than on most big tech platforms such as twitter that tolerate p-rn.

Analysis 3

Vaush also stated that p-rn defined many millennials and it's hard to change them. Also false, a lot of them are on nofap, most of the anti porn movement is also women led and large amounts of minorities are against pornography. Women and minorities are cherished by the woke left and since they are an asset, Vaush's answer falls flat. He also said people do not masturbate because they do it for fun, also a lie he made up. He doesn't provide any real stories or proof for his claims.

Round 2:(12:18) EMJ pwns Vaush by saying he was so ruined by porn that he can't even listen to what EMJ was saying. Very correct, Vaush just tries to justify his addiction to pornography. Contrapoints even admitted porn made them trans. EMJ also claims that he didn't change coomers' minds, the coomers have to come to that realization at some point in their lives. There isn't a conventional explanation on pornography. EMJ said most people thought once p-rn was legalized, people thought nothing bad changed in their lives, he said that Vaush barely touched on that. Vaush thinks that banning p-rn is the same as banning innovation, and he thinks it's just videos of real sexual acts. Vaush then denies that p-rn is corrupting his brain and impairing his daily life. EMJ then accuses Vaush of having a p-rn addiction because of what Vaush has stated, these accusations are not wrong, a research poll showed that a majority of Americans (Vaush's soil and roots) are in favor of banning p-rn-graphy (61%, if studies, 2019), Vaush then asks him what the difference between s-x in p-rn and s-x in real life, and EMJ said one is on a screen and is a simulation of something real and violates sexual privacy. Vaush derails the subject by saying all movies are flickering images on a screen, but EMJ says Vaush is going off topic, which to me is correct. Pornography, in EMJ's definition is a portrayal of sexual activity, Vaush accuses poor EMJ of having a lack of rationality. Vaush, come on, who is the one bringing up real life examples here, Vaush hasn't tried to bring up real life examples, any examples he gives are just blanket statements such as democracies and pornography. Vaush said that EMJ's argument is all about himself, not true, EMJ never talked about his experiences. Only thing he brought out was his book he made in the 90s. Vaush perpetuated the myth that people in the stone ages walked around naked. EMJ said that Vaush can't distinguish between a nude scene and a s-x scene anymore because of Vaush's addiction. EMJ shifted to a more recent area of interests, the war on obscenity in the 20th century, it began when Hollywood in its Californian form, started breaking down moral fiber and boundaries of 20th century America. Vaush was wondering why, EMJ said that because Hollywood wanted to make money quickly. It's cheaper and easier for Hollywood to have naked girls than it is to build grand sets and have epic scenes (I.E chariot racing). Vaush deflects this statement by saying Hollywood doesn't make p-rn. EMJ assumed that this was a blanket statement. To be fair, I am not so sure anymore, I rarely watched anything made before the 2030s in Lunar City, I did see some classics, they were censored tbh, Breaking Bad was one of them, oh, boy, they cut a lot of scenes out.

Analysis 4

Vaush then asks him which hollywood studios are involved in p-rn. EMJ tells him he doesn't know the history of hollywood that he and us civi-bots (Me, Bolts, Saedee, etc) know about. There was a pre-production era, EMJ recommended a book (sin in soft focus). The book EMJ recommended is about pre-code hollywood, this era was in the 1920s, the book mentioned people such as may west, then EMJ says that people like Vaush, who are being jaded thanks to p-rn, don't think the images of precode hollywood are transgressive, understand that many people then thought it was transgressive. I am not so sure, with these imagery being at least century and a half old by 2080. Vaush like a 5 year old, wonders "what's transgressive about these movies". EMJ listed these - showing ankles, -innuendos, -nudity(i.e Tarzan, EMJ might be talking about the 1932 one, I am not sure), Vaush was wondering why nudity on screen robs people of moral character, EMJ said that those pre-code films were about transgressing boundaries of America back then, that's the main reason they were created, according to emj, the outrage was intentionally designed, the public demanding the government get involved and get hollywood shut down, there was a man called mr Hays who stepped in, EMJ said this slapfight was a battle between the values of Protestants, the Catholic, and the Jews. The Evangelical Protestants(most were from anglo-saxon, celtic, germanic, etc) were perceived as the moral gatekeepers, the Jews(most were eastern european migrants) at the time were perceived as stealing film technology to the average Evangelical Protestant, no offense here(I am using EMJ's word and civi-bot lens), and the Protestant and Catholic people were outraged. It's not religion that matters. Vaush than tries to say that Jesus was "transgressive" in the days of ancient Rome, Vaush compared the persecution of Jesus and his crucification. EMJ then accurately refuted the comparison, Jesus didn't transgress the moral sensibilities of the people of his time, and He made sure He didn't transgress the moral sensibilities, but Roman Empire was really mad at Jesus anyways. Vaush goes off topic. Vaush still pushes the transgression narrative even with EMJ's debunkings. You cannot compare p-rn-gr-phy to Christ. Vaush clearly abuses the term transgression, and called Christ subversive. EMJ said that about people who almost always wore clothings, hollywood was transgressing these moral codes, and everyone in thr 20th century were outraged, Americas saw it as 1st amendment, and so the Catholic Church got involved, and Catholics launched a group called "legion of decency". Legion of Decency boycotted Hollywood in Philadelphia and Warner brothers at the time lost hundreds of thousands of dollars a week. and into serious debt because they needed money for sound equipment in the 1930s. Vsush wonders why nudity in real life is different from nudity on movies.

Nudity in movies are there for money and to exploit people's weaknesses. Even a couple filming amateur p-rn- was considered "transgressive" by EMJ, a type of manipulation that only exist in a tolerated by the authortire who are running the web. EMJ than says all the people in America are being manipulated by this use of p-rn in all cases. It's not just videos anymore. people now image that they see on there, and is one consequence of p-rn use. If someone is raped because a rapist viewed p-rn, it's

Analysis 5

the p-rn-gr-ph-r's fault that it happened or it influences, anything you watch influences you behavior.

EMJ then wonders what kind of manipulation on the human passion is allowed by the government. American government was super tolerant in the 1930s of the filth of Hellyweird and catholic then made a deal with hellyweird, that if their push this filth then all the major cities(granted, with large catholic communities) will boycott their films. Vaush then brings up that rape was more common in middle ages, but doesn't bring up sources. I don't want to give Vaush power. He is making \$h!t up. The internet is becoming the same as Hollywood, Hollywood was banning anyone that didn't agree to it's agenda, same with most major tech companies. Vaush makes alot of blanket statements by saying all banned users are anti-smetic(just a blanket statement). Vaush defends tos, blaming it on users and not the companies(Vaush himself was deplayformed from Twitch), he than deflect EMJ's insult onto EMJ himself and Vaush tells EMJ to look at nudes, really bad advice. Vaush is ignorant on why people even visit nofap. Vaush has no morality. Vaush doesn't understand that sexuality is an act is about creating children. Vaush according to EMJ, is acting in contary to normal sexual behavior. Vaush thinks cooming to videos is normal, it really isn't. Vaush is too lazy to have kids.

Round 3. Vaush interrupts EMJ. The ideal love is a union between man and a women, leading to a child according to EMJ. Perverting this ideal love is very irrational, and you aren't going to end up with a child. Vaush than goes to so much nonsense.He admitted to EMJ that he isn't religious, but then tells him cooming reduced prostate cancer. EMJ has to repeat what he said in the 1st round.

They aren't forming relationships and aren't having children, btw, upgrading a civi-bot to be a battle-bot upgrades us, not hold us back. The consequences of p-rn is dangerous and many are too addicted to have a job. Vaush pushed a Marxist bs. Vaush confuses p-rn with reality and EMJ has to remind him that the stuff in p-rn isn't the way of love. Usury is also a problem with millenials, who are in deep student debt, along with p-rn addiction produced the most toxic situation in which they can't have children. People want socalism, but those 2 problems are overlooked. Vaush had no idea what usury means, and assumed it had a anti semitic tint, which it doesn't. I didn't hear EMJ insult any religious groups in that paragraph. But Vaush went way off topic. EMJ has a book explaining the link between what's keeping millennial back and usury. EMJ has to repeat himself again that cooming isolated you into a fantasy planet. Vaush is keeping interupting EMJ, my computing powers can't keep up with those 2. Vaush think nonutnovember is just a challenge, but he doesn't know the context. Vaush is clearly narcissitic.

Analysis 6

Vaush never tries to understand EMJ. EMJ says that p-rn is worse than playing online gaming. at 40 minutes most of the debates are less detailed in this analysis. Vaush doesn't fully grasp the idea of guilt.

The last round of debates, EMJ, has so much patience and he repeats what I have said about Vaush, changing topics. EMJ's debate with Vaush is a cautionary tale to you readers and fans not to debate with irrational people. If you are discussing the dangers of p-rn to someone who is extremely addicted to it, is beyond help, you are essentially talking to yourself at this point and you can't rationalize with them. They will respond how, why, when, as if there isn't any regression in them. This is what happens when you try to debate with the brainwashed.

Anyhow, Vaush is just insulting him at the end, but he finds EMJ's beliefs interesting but still tries to recommend him harmful stuff.

At 45:20, the mind frying debate is over, and it's q&a, which I can't stand, with what Vaush did.

Summary: Don't ever watch p-rn ever. It will screw you up, and prevent you from living a successful life.

My mind was nearly fried at how opinionated Vaush is and how he is the one interrupting EMJ. EMJ has done more research than what Vaush has. Only difference between EMJ and me is that EMJ is just well read and very educated on what he is into, I'm just a civi-bot turned battlebot(returned into being a civi-bot after 2015) and the way Tommy made us allowed us to have a wealth of knowledge that many humans can't, being in 2010s makes me feel dumber because I am surrounded with idiots that are worse than Vaush. I hope all the readers and fans can understand the hardship I go through since I time travelled here, dealing with people that are so dumb that it would be unthinkable in 2080 to be this dumb.