

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE
COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL
EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OFFICE OF
MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [25-cv-08302-SI](#)

**ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR REVISED
MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT**

Re: Dkt. No. 77

Plaintiffs have again filed an administrative motion to shorten time to hear their revised motion for leave to file a Second Amended Complaint. Dkt. No. 77. Plaintiffs’ papers indicate that defendants oppose the request. Dkt. No. 78-5 (“Leonard Decl.”) ¶¶ 2-3. The Court finds good cause exists to rule on the administrative motion without a written response from defendants due to the emergency nature of the upcoming proceedings. *See* Dkt. No. 56; *see also* Dkt. No. 49-2 (“Billy Suppl. Decl.”) ¶ 4 (OMB Senior Advisor declaring that “the situation involving the lapse in appropriations, and RIFs related to that lapse, is fluid and rapidly evolving”).


The Court hereby GRANTS the administrative motion to shorten time. Defendants shall file their response to plaintiffs’ revised motion for leave to file a Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 76) by October 24, 2025. Plaintiffs’ reply, if any, is due October 27, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. The Court will hear argument on the motion at the same time that the parties are to appear for a

///
///
///
///

1 hearing on plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction, October 28, 2025, at 10:30 a.m.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: October 22, 2025



4
5 SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California